

Emerging Techniques of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS): A Review

Dr. Monal Dutta^{1*}, Moinak Halder², Souvik Nath³, Sankhadeep Ghosh⁴

^{1,2,3,4*} *Department of Chemical Engineering, Calcutta Institute of Technology, Howrah, India*

*Email: soniairin@gmail.com

Abstract

The typical process of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) mainly involves the capture of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from various industrial processes or from the flue or stack gas which is generated as a result of burning of fossil fuels. Therefore, the main processes of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) basically categorized as post-combustion carbon capture, pre-combustion carbon capture and oxy-fuel combustion systems. The first method is specially used in various steel and power plants whereas the pre-combustion carbon capture process is mainly employed in different industrial processes. Apart from these techniques, Direct Air Capture and Storage (DAC) method is also used in order to capture CO₂ directly from ambient air. The main characteristics of the CCS process includes capturing CO₂ from the point sources of where it is been produced such as, smokestacks of iron and steel factories and then transporting the captured CO₂ to the storage site for subsequent sequestration. The captured CO₂ is firstly compressed to a liquid form and then it is being transported via ship or in a pipeline to store beneath the ground where it is geologically sequestered by injecting it into porous rock formations in geological basins.

Keywords: *Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS); Pre-combustion carbon capture process; Post-combustion carbon capture; Direct Air Capture and Storage (DAC); Carbon Sequestration; Geological Basins.*

1. Introduction

In the recent era Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies have successfully resolved the major challenges associated with greenhouse gas emissions from industrial combustion processes. In this typical process carbon dioxide (CO₂) is been captured before it is released into the atmosphere. The captured CO₂ is then stored underground in various geological formations. As Carbon capture and storage (CCS) captures the CO₂ emissions from various potential sources so it in-turn reduces global warming to a greater extent. The process basically comprises off three-step namely capturing of the CO₂, transportation and storage. The considerable amount of CO₂ could be captured from various industrial sources such as from power generation which contributes approximately 40% of total CO₂

emissions [1]. Carbon capture and storage technologies comprises of a set of techniques that are used to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from various point and nonpoint sources including industrial processes and power generation units [2, 3]. The main process of CO₂ capture can be done in various stages like at pre-combustion stage, at post-combustion stage, at oxy-fuel combustion stage and direct air capture stage [4, 5]. The storage process is followed by Carbon transportation which is widely done through pipeline transportation, shipping transportation, and road and rail transportation etc [6, 7]. Once the captured carbon is being transported to a specific site then that carbon can be further utilized in various fields such as, in the field of oil/gas recovery, chemicals and fuels utilization and agriculture utilization.

Through these technologies captured CO₂ is being converted into valuable products which promoting a circular carbon economy [8]. In order to prevent further emission CO₂ is stored through geological storage, mineral carbonation storage, terrestrial storage, and ocean [9, 10]. Therefore as a whole CCS technologies offer a combination of techniques that can be widely used to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from various potential sources. But apart from these advantages there are some economic and regulatory challenges associated with this technology. In the present review paper a complete overview of various recent advancements associated with CCS technologies are discussed in details.

2. Effect of Carbon dioxide emissions on atmosphere

The emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from various sources is responsible for global warming [11]. Due to burning of fossil fuels, deforestation and increasing urbanization have significantly increased the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere [12]. Therefore, it is very necessary to implement various CO₂ capturing technologies which generally capture CO₂ from stationary and large industrial processes, such as power generation. These technologies are known as carbon capture and storage (CCS) and when it is coupled with the effective utilization of captured carbon then it is called as carbon capture utilization and storage (CCUS) [13]. In addition to reducing the CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere CCUS also helps to reduce emissions of other GHG pollutants. It also uses hydrogen as a carbon-free fuel as a reliable low carbon energy supply. But CCUS mainly focuses on carbon storage and it is less effective for utilizing the captured CO₂ for beneficial applications [14]. The CO₂ capture process includes effective capture technologies, transportation and storage of CO₂. The whole process offers several economical benefits like alternative power generation coupled with enhanced oil recovery for in bio-fuel production. Inspire of having so many

advantages CCUs have several challenges like various risks and safety issues related to its transportation and storage.

3. Description of the carbon capture technologies

As discussed earlier, combustion of fossil fuels in industrial processes contributes most of the CO₂ emissions. The other sources may include emissions from various electricity generations and burning of residual food crops in the agricultural process especially during inter season. In the recent decade, different types of carbon capture technologies namely Pre-combustion which is generally used in steel and cement plants [15], Post-combustion and oxygen-enriched combustion are used widely in the power industries to reduce carbon concentration in the atmosphere. Apart from these, chemical extraction is also employed to separate CO₂ in coal. As an advanced method the extraction of CO₂ is also practiced from industrial process streams through chemical extraction process [16]. The last practiced CO₂ capture method involves capturing of CO₂ directly from air which is termed as Direct Air Capture (DAC) [17].

3.1 Pre-combustion Process

In this process CO₂ is mainly captured from fossil fuel feed stocks before they are burned to produce energy. This process is specially used for coal gasification and various gas-reforming. In this process, the captured gases are converted to water gas which comprises of H₂, CO, and CO₂. After this CO₂ is being separated from the captured as mixtures through different technologies. At the end of the process, H₂ is obtained as the clean-fuel product. The concentration of the CO₂ obtained through Pre-combustion Process is relatively high. The major advantage of this process is it involves low energy consumption costs but the CO₂ separation step is expensive [18].

3.2 Post-combustion Process

Post-combustion process separates CO₂ directly from flue gases and it produces a

mixture of CO₂, oxygen, and N₂ compounds. The post-combustion carbon capture may be done in various ways. One of such technologies can be described through temperature-vacuum-swing adsorption process where silica is used as an adsorbent for adsorption of aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA). The said process is widely used for separating CO₂ from natural gas, hydrogen, and flue gases [19]. This post combustion technology has been adapted to a greater extent mainly in cement and steel industry where the GHG emissions are considered from a point-source [20]. The advantage of Post-combustion Process includes low investment cost and the original combustion process [21].

3.3 Direct Air Capture (DAC)

Direct air capture (DAC) method involves extraction of CO₂ directly from the atmosphere at any location. This process generally captures CO₂ from steel plant. After effective capturing of atmospheric CO₂ DAC it is stored in deep geological formations or used for a variety of applications. The process typically uses some adsorbent materials which are suitable for CO₂ adsorption. Once the as is being adsorbed then it is extracted from the absorbents through a desorption process via heat treatment [22]. But this method is quite costly.

3.4 Other treatment technologies

The other CO₂ separation technologies are mainly gas absorption processes which uses various solid and liquid solvents for absorbing CO₂ from as stream. Apart from above mentioned methods, CO₂ can also be separated through various methods such as, temperature swing and pressure swing adsorption, membrane separation, electrochemical methods and membrane absorption techniques etc [23]. In the membrane separation technique a micro porous membrane is use for gas separation.

3.5 Amine-based capture processes

Apart from other conventional techniques for CO₂ removal the amine-based solvent process

is implemented to absorb CO₂ directly from flue gas. The major advantage of this method is cost effectiveness [24].

4. Carbon transport technologies

Once the carbon is being effectively captured from its potential source then the captured carbon is transported from its sources to desired storage sites through various means like pipeline transportation, shipping transportation, and road and rail transportation etc. Among all these methods, pipeline transportation is the most common and cost-effective method for transporting large volumes of CO₂ over long distances [25].

5. Carbon storage technologies

Generally the captured carbon is stored in various geological formations to prevent it from being released into the atmosphere [26]. This kind of storage facility mainly includes geological storage, mineral carbonation storage, terrestrial storage, and ocean storage etc. But among all these techniques, geological storage is the most important technology for mitigating climate change by storing carbon dioxide (CO₂) deep underground in geological formations [27]. One of such storage method is storing of CO₂ in different types of volcanic rocks which are typically known as basalts. The storage of CO₂ can also be done through stratigraphic trapping where it is injected into a trap surrounded by rocks boundaries. Then the injected CO₂ penetrates though the void spaces of the rocks until it reaches the impermeable layers of rocks. Due to the variation of pore diameters some CO₂ is unable to come out of the void spaces [28]. Another suitable alternative is to store CO₂ by dissolving it into water which offers the advantage of reducing the corrosive properties of the injected gas. But on the other hand, due to the increased solubility of injected CO₂ in the rock formations it alters the pH of the system and makes the solution more acidic. The trapped CO₂ can also react with various minerals which are already stored in the earth's core and subsequent reactions with these mineral

materials leads to formation of carbonate minerals. Besides all the above mentioned methods another type of geological storage of CO₂ is through saline formations in various geological structures beneath the Earth's surface. But there are several challenges associated with this storage method like chances of leakage and fractures in the rock structures which may lead to earthquake [29]. Sometimes, naturally deposited layers in the sea floor enable the escaping of trapped CO₂ into the atmosphere [30]. In addition CO₂ can also be stored in fossil-fuel reservoirs for long periods. Examples of such reserves may be onshore reserves which are mostly located near the point sources to reduce the transport costs [31]. The enhanced oil recovery (EOR) or enhanced gas recovery (EGR) during CO₂ capturing process is mainly done through injection, production, and recycling of injected fluids [32]. But in the EGR process CO₂ is injected in the geological formations with the presence of natural gas.

6. Carbon utilization technologies

Proper utilization of carbon technologies offers an effective way to transform carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other carbon-containing waste streams either into valuable products or materials [33]. Converting waste materials into reusable products also enhances the carbon economy. Some of the widespread uses of carbon utilization technologies lies in the field of enhanced oil/gas recovery (EOR and EGR). In addition to these, the captured CO₂ can also be utilized in biomass power-generation plants. Here, CO₂ is absorbed from the atmosphere by various plants. The CO₂ storage efficacy can also be enhanced by burying various bio-char and biomass materials [34].

7. Conclusions

From this review work it can be concluded that in the present decade, carbon capture utilization and storage method offers an attractive alternative to reduce carbon footprints from atmosphere. Although various techniques are available to capture the CO₂

from the atmosphere but the economic feasibility of the process depends upon the type of method used and partly on the transportation cost. The said economy can further be enhanced by utilizing the captured CO₂ for various purposes such as power generation, biofuel production and enhanced oil and gas recovery. Apart from storing in various reservoirs, the main storage method of CO₂ is storing through formations. But the major challenges associated with geological storage are chances of leakage of stored CO₂. Whereas, in comparison to the geological storage CO₂ storage in various off shore preservers offers the advantage of low cost by reducing the transportation cost.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the help and support of Chemical engineering department of Calcutta institute of Technology. We had not used any financial support from anywhere although we are thankful to our Respected Director Academics for his constant encouragements throughout the project.

References

1. Sovacool BK. (2017) Contestation, contingency, and justice in the Nordic low-carbon energy transition. *Energy Pol.* 102:569–82. doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.12.045.
2. Klein T, Anderegg W (2021). A vast increase in heat exposure in the 21st century is driven by global warming and urban population growth. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 73: 103098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.103098>.
3. McQueen N, Kelemen P, Dipple G, Renforth P, Wilcox J (2020) Ambient weathering of magnesium oxide for CO₂ removal from air. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1): 3299. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16510-3>.
4. Rosa L, Sanchez DL, Realmonte G, Baldocchi D, D'Odorico P (2021) The water footprint of carbon capture and storage technologies. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev.*

138:110511.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110511>.

5. Realmonte G, Drouet L, Gambhir A. et al. (2019) An inter-model assessment of the role of direct air capture in deep mitigation pathways. *Nat Commun* 10:3277. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10842-5>.
6. Rode D, Anderson J, Zhai H, Fischbeck P (2022) Many hands make light work: widening the U.S. path forward from COP26. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 56:10. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c07965>.
7. Noothout P, Wiersma F, Hurtado O, Macdonald D, Kemper J, van Alphen K (2014) CO₂ pipeline infrastructure – lessons learnt. *Energy Proc.* 63:2481–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.271>.
8. Shu DY, Deutz S, Winter BA, Baumgärtner N, Leenders L, Bardow A (2023) Renewable Sustain. *Energy Rev.* 178:113246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113246>.
9. Adu E, Zhang Y, Liu D (2019) Current situation of carbon dioxide capture, storage, and enhanced oil recovery in the oil and gas industry. *Can J Chem Eng.* 97:1048–76. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.23393>.
10. Khatiwala S, Primeau F, Hall T (2009) Reconstruction of the history of anthropogenic CO₂ concentrations in the ocean. *Nature.* 462:346–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08526>.
11. Liang J, Lupien R, Xie H, Vachula R, Stevenson M, Han B, Lin Q, He Y, Wang M, Liang P, Huang Y (2021) Lake ecosystem on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau severely altered by climatic warming and human activity. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 576:110509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2021.110509>.
12. Luderer G, Vrontisi Z, Bertram C, Edelenbosch OY, Pietzcker RC, Rogelj J et al (2018) Residual fossil CO₂ emissions in 1.5–2 °C pathways. *Nat Clim Chang.* 8(7):626–633. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0198-6>.
13. Al-Shargabi M, Davoodi S, Wood DA, Rukavishnikov VS, Minaev KM (2022) Carbon Dioxide Applications for Enhanced Oil Recovery Assisted by Nanoparticles: Recent Developments. *ACS Omega.* 7(12): 9984–94.

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c07123>.

14. Bui M, Adjiman CS, Bardow A, Anthony EJ, Boston A, Brown S, et al. (2018) Carbon capture and storage (CCS): the way forward. *Energy Environ Sci*; 11(5):1062–176. DOI: 10.1039/c7ee02342a [rsc.li/ees](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ee02342a).
15. Dziejarski B, Krzyzyska R, Andersson K (2023) Current status of carbon capture, utilization, and storage technologies in the global economy: a survey of technical assessment. *Fuel.* 342:127776. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.127776>.
16. Ivanov Y, Pyatnichko O, Zhuk H, Onopa L, Soltanibereshne M. 2017. Extraction of carbon dioxide from gas mixtures with amines absorbing process. *Energy Procedia.* 128:240–247. [10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.062).
17. Erans M, Sanz-Pérez ES, Hanak DP, Clulow Z, Reiner DM, Mutch GA (2022) Direct air capture: process technology, techno-economic and socio-political challenges. *Energy Environ Sci.* 15:1360–1405. DOI: 10.1039/d1ee03523a [rsc.li/ees](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ee03523a)
18. Chao C, Deng Y, Dewil R, Baeyens J, Fan X (2021) Post-combustion carbon capture. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev.* 138:110490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110490>.
19. Borhani TN, Wang M (2019) Role of solvents in CO₂ capture processes: The review of selection and design methods. *Renewable Sustain. Energy Reviews.* 114:109299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109299>.
20. Jayarathna SA, Lie B, Melaaen MC (2013) Amine based CO₂ capture plant: Dynamic modeling and simulations. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control.* 14:282–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.01.028>.
21. Mukherjee A, Okolie JA, Abdelrasoul A, Niu C, Dalai AK (2019) Review of post combustion carbon dioxide capture technologies using activated carbon. *J Environ Sci.* 83:46–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2019.03.014>
22. Castro-Muñoz R, Zamidi Ahmad M, Malankowska M, Coronas J (2022) A new relevant membrane application: CO₂ direct air capture (DAC). *Chem Eng J.* 446:137047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.137047>.

23. Ahmad AL, Sunarti AR, Lee KT, Fernando WJN (2010) CO₂ removal using membrane gas absorption. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control.* 4(3):495-498.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2009.12.003>.
24. Aghel B, Janati S, Wongwises S, Shadloo MS (2022) Review on CO₂ capture by blended amine solutions. 119: 103715. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control.*
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2022.103715>.
25. Lu H, Ma X, Huang K, Fu L, Azimi M (2020) Carbon dioxide transport via pipelines: a systematic review. *J Clean Prod.*266:121994.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121994>.
26. Aminu MD, Nabavi SA, Rochelle CA, Manovic V (2017) A review of developments in carbon dioxide storage. *Appl Energy.* 208:1389–419.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.09.015>.
27. Ajayi T, Gomes JS, Bera A (2019) A review of CO₂ storage in geological formations emphasizing modeling, monitoring and capacity estimation approaches. *Pet Sci.*16(5):1028–63.DOI:[10.1007/s12182-019-0340-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12182-019-0340-8).
28. Feng D, Li X, Wang X, Li J, Zhang X (2018) Capillary filling under nanoconfinement: The relationship between effective viscosity and water-wall interactions. *Int J Heat Mass Transf.* 118:900–910.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.11.049>.
29. Wei N, Li X, Liu S, Lu S, Jiao Z (2021) A strategic framework for commercialization of carbon capture, geological utilization, and storage technology in China. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control.* 110:103420.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2021.103420>.
30. Connelly DP, Bull JM, Flohr A, Schaap A, Koopmans D, Blackford JC, et al. (2022) Assuring the integrity of offshore carbon dioxide storage. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev.*166:112670.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112670>.
31. Roussanaly S, Hognes ES, Jakobsen JP (2013) Multi-criteria analysis of two CO₂ transport technologies. *Energy Proc* 2013;37:2981–8.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.184>.
32. Zhao N, Xu T, Wang K, Tian H, Wang F (2018) Experimental study of physical-chemical properties modification of coal after CO₂ sequestration in deep unmineable coal seams. *Greenhouse Gases Sci Technol.* 18:8(3): 510–28.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.1759>.
33. Lamberts-Van Assche H, Compennolle T (2022) Using real options thinking to value investment flexibility in carbon capture and utilization projects: a review. *Sustain.* 14:2098.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042098>.
34. Schaffer S, Pröll T, Al Afif R, Pfeifer C (2019) A mass- and energy balance-based process modelling study for the pyrolysis of cotton stalks with char utilization for sustainable soil enhancement and carbon storage. *Biomass Bioenergy.*120:281–90.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2018.11.019>.